

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Kamille Campbell

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No: _____

COMPLAINT

-v.-

I.C. System, Inc.

Defendant.

Plaintiff Kamille Campbell ("Plaintiff" or "Campbell") by and through her attorneys, RC Law Group, PLLC, as and for her Complaint against Defendant I.C. System, Inc. ("Defendant") respectfully sets forth, complains and alleges, upon information and belief, the following:

INTRODUCTION/PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. Plaintiff brings this action for damages and declaratory and injunctive relief arising from the Defendant's violation(s) of section 1692 et. seq. of Title 15 of the United States Code, commonly referred to as the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act ("FDCPA").
2. Additionally Plaintiff brings this action for damages and declaratory and injunctive relief arising from Defendant's violations of section 227 et.seq. of Title 47 of the United States Code, commonly referred to as the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA").

PARTIES

3. Plaintiff is a resident of the State of New York, County of Kings, residing at 601 Albany Ave, Brooklyn, NY 11203.

4. I.C. System, Inc. is a "debt collector" as the phrase is defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6) and used in the FDCPA with an address at 444 Highway 96 East, P.O. Box 64437, Saint Paul, MN 55164.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. The Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, as well as 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et. seq. and 28 U.S.C. § 2201. If applicable, the Court also has pendant jurisdiction over the State law claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

6. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

7. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.

8. On information and belief, on a date better known to Defendant, Defendant began collection activities on an alleged consumer debt ("Alleged Debt") from the Plaintiff.

9. The Alleged Debt was incurred as a financial obligation that was primarily for personal, family or household purposes and is therefore a "debt" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

Collection Calls

10. During the course of the past year the Plaintiff began to receive collection calls from the Defendant.

11. Plaintiff never authorized Defendant or any creditor to call her cell phone.

12. During collection of this debt the Defendant continued to harass the Plaintiff with phone calls to her cell phone calling multiple times a day.

13. Collection in this fashion is nothing more than collection in an attempt to harass the debtor.

14. These calls from Defendant to Plaintiff were collection communications in violation of numerous and multiple provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692d, 1692e, 1692e(2), 1692e(5), 1692e(7), 1692e(8), 1692e(10), 1692f, and 1692f(1).

15. These calls from Defendant to Plaintiff was a collection communication in violation of numerous and multiple provisions of the TCPA including, but not limited to, 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A) and 47 U.S.C. § 227 (c)(5)(B).

Respondeat Superior Liability

16. The acts and omissions of the debt collectors employed as agents by Defendants who communicated with Plaintiff as more further described herein, were committed within the time and space limits of their agency relationship with their principal, Defendant.

17. The acts and omissions by the other debt collectors were incidental to, or of the same general nature as, the responsibilities these agents were authorized to perform by Defendants in collecting consumer debts.

18. By committing these acts and omissions against Plaintiff, the debt collectors were motivated to benefit their principal, Defendant.

19. Defendant is therefore liable to Plaintiff through the Doctrine of Respondeat Superior for the intentional and negligent acts, errors, and omissions done in violation of state and federal law by these individual Defendant and its collection employees, including but not

limited to violations of the FDCPA and the TCPA, in their attempts to collect this debt from Plaintiff.

Summary

20. The above-detailed conduct by Defendants of harassing Plaintiff in an effort to collect this debt was a violation of numerous and multiple provisions of the FDCPA and TCPA, including but not limited to all of the above-mentioned provisions of the FDCPA and TCPA.

21. Plaintiff has suffered actual damages as a result of these illegal collection communications by these Defendants in the form of anger, anxiety, emotional distress, fear, frustration, upset, humiliation, embarrassment, amongst other negative emotions.

22. As a result of Defendant's deceptive, misleading and unfair debt collection practices, Plaintiff has been damaged.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
(Violations of the FDCPA)

23. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.

24. Defendant's debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards Plaintiff violate various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692d, 1692e, 1692e(2), 1692e(5), 1692e(7), 1692e(8), 1692e(10), 1692f, and 1692f(1).

25. As a result of the Defendant's violations of the FDCPA, Plaintiff has been damaged and is entitled to damages in accordance with the FDCPA.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
(Invasion of Privacy By Intrusion Upon Seclusion)

26. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in all of the paragraphs of this Complaint with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.

27. Defendant intentionally interfered, physically or otherwise, with the solitude, seclusion and or private concerns or affairs of the Plaintiff.

28. Defendant intentionally caused harm to Plaintiff's emotional well-being by engaging in highly offensive conduct in the course of collecting a debt.

29. Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation of privacy in Plaintiff's solitude, seclusion, and or private concerns or affairs.

30. The intrusion by Defendant occurred in a manner that would be highly offensive to a reasonable person in that position.

31. As a result of such invasions of privacy, Plaintiff is entitled to actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial from each and every Defendant.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
(Violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act)

32. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in all of the paragraphs of this Complaint with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.

33. The TCPA prohibits a person from making any non-emergency call using any automatic telephone dialing system or any artificial or prerecorded voice to any telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone service. 47 U.S.C. § 227(b); see 47 CFR 64.1200(a)(1).

34. Defendant used an automatic telephone dialing system when it called Plaintiff's cellular telephone in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A) and 47 CFR 64.1200(a)(1).

35. Defendant used an artificial or prerecorded voice when it called Plaintiff's cellular telephone in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).

36. Defendant willfully or knowingly violated the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227, entitling Plaintiff to treble damages under 47 U.S.C. § 227(c)(5)(B).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Kamille Campbell demands judgment from Defendant I.C. System, Inc. as follows:

- a) For actual damages provided and pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- b) For statutory damages provided and pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c) For attorney fees and costs provided and pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3);
- d) A declaration that the Defendant's practices violated the FDCPA;
- e) For a declaration that Defendant's telephone calls to Plaintiff violated the TCPA;
- f) For an award of damages consistent with the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227(c)(5)(B);
- g) For an award of treble damages available under 47 U.S.C. § 227(c)(5)(B) for willful or knowing violations of the TCPA; and
- h) For any such other and further relief, as well as further costs, expenses and disbursements of this action as this Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: Hackensack, New Jersey
August 12, 2016

/s/ Daniel Kohn
RC Law Group, PLLC
By: Daniel Kohn
285 Passaic Street
Hackensack, NJ 07601
Phone: (201) 282-6500 Ext. 107
Fax: (201) 282-6501